

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER POR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/599,393	09/27/2006	Jun-ichi Yamaki	Q97226	8040		
23373 7590 12/28/2009 SUGHRUE MION, PLLC			EXAMINER			
2100 PENNSY	LVANIA AVENUE, N	l.W.	WILLS, MO	WILLS, MONIQUE M		
SUITE 800 WASHINGTO	N DC 20037		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	-,	1795				
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
			12/28/2009	ELECTRONIC		

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

sughrue@sughrue.com PPROCESSING@SUGHRUE.COM USPTO@SUGHRUE.COM

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)		
	10/599,393	YAMAKI ET AL.		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Monique M. Wills	1795		

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 07 December 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the

application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:

a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.

b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.

Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).

Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

NOT	ICE	OF	AP	PEA	Į
-----	-----	----	----	-----	---

2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on \_\_\_\_\_. A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).

### AMENDMENTS

3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because
<ul><li>(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);</li></ul>
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues fo

appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.

NOTE: \_\_\_\_\_. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).

Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):

6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 7. To purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of

how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:

Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: \_\_\_

Claim(s) rejected: \_

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: \_\_\_

## AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE

8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).

9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).

10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

11. X The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.

 Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s), 5/22/08 13. Other:

/Monique M Wills/

Examiner, Art Unit 1795

/Stephen J. Kalafut/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1795 Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Applicant asserts that Takeda does not expressly disclose heating the sodium-iron compound in an inert amosphere. Spilicant argues that the Examiner's position with respect to the obviousness of an inert atmosphere to prevent oxygen and improve processing the compounds is errored by the spilicant and the processing the processing the compounds is errored as the mixture is susceptible to oxidation at temperatures of 600 to 700 degrees, which are heating temperatures that the reference specifically discloses. Further, a constant inert atmosphere is reasonably expected through out the entire temperatures range, heating below 100 Can dithrough out the course of a rising temperature from 400 to 900C. It is reasonable to expect that the skilled arisan would not change from an open to inert atmosphere in the mist of heating. The skilled arisan recognizes possible oxidation at high temperatures and the risk of impurity contamination through on the teating. The skilled arisan recognizes possible oxidation at high temperatures and the risk of impurity contamination through on the teating. The referer, it would be obvious to employed an inert atmosphere across the entire range. Further, the claim requires and inert atmosphere through out heating and a distinction is not made between the atmosphere above and below 100C.